This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

DAC

PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellant

Thomas L. Bybee et al.

Serial No.

10/626,466

Title

Specimen Carrier Transfer Apparatus

Group Art Unit :

3652

RESPONSE TO DECISION REFUSING STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) was mailed June 22, 2004. Applicant was given two months to respond, correcting the noted deficiencies. In particular, the Petitions Attorney indicated that the petitioners had not provided: (1) sufficient proof that a copy of the complete application was sent to the non-signing inventor; and (2) an acceptable Oath or Declaration listing the non-signing joint inventors.

The second item will be addressed first, as it bears on the response to the first item. The original Petition indicated that Bybee, Murphy, Kime and Wright were non-signing joint inventors in this application. That statement was in error. Only Thomas Bybee was a non-signing joint inventor in this application. The other three were non-signing joint inventors in another application which was filed simultaneously with the instant application (that application was entitled "Two-Axis Robot for Specimen Transfer"). The specification lists all of the joint inventors on the first page. Note that Murphy, Kime and Wright are not listed.

Further evidence that Murphy Kime and Wright were not intnended to be listed as inventors may be found in the copies of the letters sent to each of these three individuals. The above application was not listed, rather the above-mentioned "Two Axis Robot" invention is the only invention listed in each of these letters. It is for this reason that Murphy, Kime and Wright are not listed in the copies of the declarations that were filed with this application – because they were not inventors. Thomas Bybee is the sole non-signing joint inventor, and he is listed on the copies of the Declarations. The undersigned attorney regrets this error, and apologizes for the confusion that this has caused.

It is therefore believed that the declarations originally submitted and signed by all inventors except Thomas Bybee, are in full compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64, since the three people omitted were not in fact, inventors.

With respect to the first item, the undersigned attorney for Petitioner sent a letter dated March 16, 2004 to the sole non-signing inventor – Thomas Bybee – via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, with a copy of the complete patent application enclosed. A copy of that letter is attached hereto. The letter (with enclosed patent application) to Mr. Bybee was delivered on March 18, 2004, as indicated in the copy of the Return Receipt, attached to the copy of the letter.

The non-signing inventor was therefore given the opportunity to review the complete application and sign the Declaration. The undersigned attorney also provided ample opportunity for affirmatively indicating his refusal to sign by: (1) returning a signed statement in an enclosed self-addressed envelope stating that the inventor refuses to sign, (2) telephone the undersigned attorney to indicate a

refusal to sign, or (3) do nothing, and the absence of a response within 10 days of receipt of the documents would be presumed to be a refusal to sign. As of the date of this response (well beyond the 10 days set forth in the letter), Mr. Bybee, has not agreed to join in the application, although he has clearly had the opportunity to do so.

It is believed that this evidence explains and corrects the noted deficiencies in the original Petition, and that all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.47(a) have been met, and it is therefore requested that this Petition be granted.

If any further information is required to expedite this process, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

coyl Rhimni

George R. Nimmer Registration No. 21,004

Attorney of Record

PO Box 252

Omaha, NE 68101-0252

(402) 393-0151



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that the following documents, with respect to Ser. No. 10/626,466 to Bybee et al., are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 an this 22nd day of July, 2004:

Response to Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a);

Copies of letter to Thomas Bybee as evidence in support of response; and

Copies of Certified Mail Receipt and corresponding Return Receipt for the letter.

Mark Frederiksen



GEORGE R. NIMMER PATENT ATTORNEY

PO Box 252 OMAHA, NE 68101-0252 (402) 393-0151

March 16, 2004

Mr. Thomas L. Bybee 10285 Grand Ave. Omaha, NE 68134

Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested

Re:

- (1) U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/626,466 Specimen Carrier Transfer Apparatus;
- (2) U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/627,342 Transfer and Positioning Apparatus for Automated Conveyor System; and
- (3) U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/627,415 Two-Axis Robot for Specimen Transfer.

Dear Mr. Bybee:

I represent Lab-InterLink with respect to the filing and prosecution of the aboveidentified patent applications. It is my understanding that my client has contacted you in the past with a request that you sign an Oath/Declaration and an Assignment for each of the above applications. I also understand that you have refused to respond to that request.

I am writing to make one last attempt to obtain the requested signatures, or to obtain a specific refusal to sign these documents. I am enclosing a copy of each of the above-identified patent applications, a Declaration for each application, and an Assignment for each application. If, after reviewing these documents, you maintain your intent to refuse to sign, please respond by either signing the "Refusal" enclosed herewith, or contact me directly (or leave a message on my answering machine) at the number above. I am enclosing a self-addressed envelope for either the signed Declarations and Assignments or the signed "Refusals".

If I receive no response from you within 10 days of receipt of these documents, I must take this silence to be a refusal to sign any of the documents.

Sincerely,

George R. Nimmer

Seogl R Num

Cc: Lab-InterLink

Enclosures - Patent applications, Declarations, Assignments, Refusal Slips, envelopes



| 4378 | U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided) | | | | | |
|-------|---|----|--------|---------|------------------------------|--|
| 323 | For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.coms MHATHE 681 & C A L USE | | | | | |
| = | Postage | \$ | \$3.95 | | | |
| 0005 | Certified Fee | | \$2.30 | | 0501 12 Postmark | |
| | Return Reciept Fee (Endorsement Required) | | \$1.75 | | Here | |
| 31,10 | Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) | | \$0.00 | | | |
| | Total Postage & Fees | \$ | \$8.00 | | 03/16/2004 | |
| 7003 | Sent To Thomas Bybee | | | | | |
| ι | Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No. 10285 Grand Ave. | | | | | |
| | City, State, ZIP+4 Oweh, NE 68134 | | | | | |
| | PS,Form 3800, June 200 | 12 | | Alteria | See Reverse for Instructions | |

| SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION | COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailplece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: Thomas L. Bybee 10 2 85 Grand Ave | A Signature X | | | |
| Omaha, N.E. 68134 | 3. Service Type | | | |
| | 4: Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) . | | | |
| 2. Article Number 7003 3110 00 | 105 4323 4378 | | | |
| PS Form 3811, August 2001 Domestic R | eturn Receipt 102595-02-M-1540 | | | |